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#### Abstract

Lightweight block cipher algorithms are vital for constrained environment. There are many applications need secured lightweight block cipher algorithm like credit card, E-passport and etc. In this paper, we propose a new lightweight block cipher algorithm called HISEC. We applied three attacks differential, integral attacks and boomerang attack. The results showed that HISEC is better than some lightweight algorithms.


## Categories and Subject Descriptors

E. 3 [DATA ENCRYPTION]: Code breaking, Standards (e.g., DES, PGP, RSA).

## General Terms

Algorithms, Security.

## Keywords

Lightweight block cipher, Substitution, Permutation Network, Differential cryptanalysis, Integral cryptanalysis and Boomerang attack.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

No doubt that the life is changing tremendously, especially in information technology and the needs of security system to protect data is becoming crucial [1]. Generally, it is difficult to suggest a cryptographic algorithm that can suit all types of target devices. However, it is not suitable to use common cryptographic algorithms in specific devices with extremely constrained resources [2].
The fundamental principles and trends to design algorithms proposed for implementation in devices with extremely low resources are to some extent different from the design aspect of commonly used cryptographic algorithms. In this specific field is supported by a branch of the modern cryptography lightweight cryptography [2].
Every designer of lightweight cryptography must be aware of the important of balancing between security, cost (Gate Equivalent GE), and performance. However, it is generally easy to optimize any two of the three designs proposal security and cost, security and performance, or cost and performance. At the same time it is difficult to enhance all three designed goals at once.

SIN '14, September 09-11 2014, Glasgow, Scotland Uk
Copyright 2014 ACM 978-1-4503-3033-6/14/09...\$15.00.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2659651.2659662

Many lightweight block cipher algorithms are proposed [3] like PRINCE [4], PRINT [5], PRESENT [6], mCrypton [7], KLEIN [8], Lblock [9], TWINE [10] and LED [11].
In this paper, we propose a new lightweight block cipher algorithm (called HISEC) which is more secure than some other existing algorithms in the terms of differential, integral and boomerang attacks. This research focuses on the security factor without major effect on the cost factor.

## 2. PROPOSED LIGHTWEIGHT ALGORITHM (HISEC)

HISEC used the same characteristics of PRESENT but different method for bit permutation. The structure of HISEC algorithm looks like the structure of feistel with
some modifications [20][12]. The HISEC is 64-bit plaintext and 80 -bit key size. There are 15 rounds and in each round there are operations like: Substitution box, Bit permutation, XOR, Rotation and key update. Moreover, there is XOR between the cipher text and key in the last round. The HISEC have four layers as following:

- First Layer: in this layer, the 64-bit plaintext is XOR with the 64-bit key. The plaintext divides into two parts. Each part is 32bit and the results after XOR of each part will be as inputs to the second layer (Substitution box).
- Second Layer: this layer is the most important layer. It produces the confusion property and it gives the nonlinearity to the algorithm. It has 164 -bit S-boxes and divides them into two parts, each part 8 S -boxes. The output of this layer will be as inputs to the third layer (bit permutation). Also, this layer uses one S-box and repeats it 16 times. The characteristics of the Sbox are the same with good S-box. The values of S-box as shown in table (1).
Table 1 S-box values

| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{X})$ | F | C | 2 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 5 | A | 1 | B | E | 8 | 6 | D | 3 | 4 |

- Third Layer: This layer produces the diffusion which is also important part for any strong encryption algorithm. This method of bit permutation applies on two sides and each side is 32 -bit.
- Fourth Layer: this layer applies the rotation and XOR operations on both sides. First of all, rotate the left 32 -bit and then XOR with right 32 -bit. The result will keep in left 32 -bit. The next step is to rotate the right 32 -bit and XOR with new left 32-bit and the result will keep in right 32-bit.
The last important part in any encryption algorithm is key schedule. The MASTER key size as mentioned before is 80 -bit $\mathrm{K}_{0}$, $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{4}, \ldots . . \mathrm{K}_{79}$. The key update or key schedule is operate as follows:


Figure (1): All layers together in details

- $\quad\left[K_{0} K_{1} K_{2} K_{3}\right]=S\left[\begin{array}{llll}K_{0} & K_{1} & K_{2} & K_{3}\end{array}\right]$
- Rotate left the MASTER key by P-bit and the initial value for $\mathrm{P}=13$
MASTER key $=$ MASTER key $\ll 13$.
- The value of $P$ for next round will increment by 2 .

The master key is 80 -bits while the encryption algorithm uses 64bits only. The encryption algorithm takes the most right 64 -bits of MASTER key. The figure (1) shows all layers of HISEC in details.

## 3. SECURITY DISCUSSION

The cryptanalysis is the important factor to test the security of the algorithm. To measure the security of any algorithm, this is done by using the cryptanalysis. We applied three attacks: differential attack, integral attacks and boomerang attack.

### 3.1Differential Cryptanalysis

The most powerful way to gauge the resistance of any encryption algorithm against to differential cryptanalysis is count the minimum active S-box [13] [14] [15]. The table (2) shows the number of active S-box for the HISEC algorithm and some others algorithms.
Table 2 Number of Active S-box for HISEC and other algorithms

| Algorithms | Min number of active S-box for each round category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 32 |
| TWINE [10] | 3 | 11 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lblock [9] | 3 | 11 | 24 | 35 | 44 |  |  |  |
| PRESENT <br> [6] | 8 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 56 | 64 |
| KLEIN [8] | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 105 | 120 |
| HISEC | 17 | 43 | 96 | 124 | 166 | 203 | 239 | 275 |

From table (1), we can conclude that the HISEC has the highest number of active S -boxes. This means that the HISEC is more secure than other existing algorithm in term of differential cryptanalysis.

### 3.2 Integral Cryptanalysis

It is one of the important attacks and every algorithm designer needs to apply this attack on his algorithm to check the resistance against this attack. We will start the analysis of the proposed algorithm by choosing one 4 -bit all possible values, 16-bit all possible values and 32-bit all possible values while the others are constants. The important step for this attack is to build the distinguisher table and from this table we will know in which round this attack can reach [16].

### 3.2.1 One Nibble

In this case, there are 15 nibbles constants and one nibble takes all possible values. The table (3) illustrates in which round the integral attack can reach.

Table 3 Integral attack on one nibble for HISEC

| No. | Nibble <br> Position | Round that the attack can <br> reach |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 0 to 8 | 2 |
| 2. | 9 | 3 |
| 3. | $10-15$ | 2 |

From table (3), we consider the position 9 to calculate the complexity of this attack. We start to do the key recovery. The integral attack can go until round four with complexity $2^{55}$ to recover 32 -bits of key.

### 3.2.2 Four Nibbles

In this case, there are 12 nibbles constants and four nibbles take all possible values. The table (4) illustrates in which round the integral attack can reach.

Table 4 Integral attack on four nibbles for HISEC

| No. | Nibble <br> Position | Round that the attack can <br> reach |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 0 to 15 | 3 |
| 2. | 1 to 4 | 3 |
| 3. | 2 to 5 | 3 |
| 4. | 3 to 6 | 3 |
| 5. | 4 to 7 | 3 |
| 6. | 5 to 8 | 3 |
| 7. | 6 to 9 | 3 |
| 8. | 7 to 10 | 3 |
| 9. | 8 to 11 | 3 |
| 10. | 9 to 12 | 3 |
| 11. | 10 to 13 | 11 |
| 12. | 11 to 14 | 12 to 15 |
| 13 |  |  |

From the table (4), the distinguisher round is three and from this round we start to calculate the complexity of the attack. After that, we will use the same method in the previous section 1 . The
last round that the attacker can reach is 4 with complexity $2^{55}$ to recover 32 bits of key.

### 3.2.3Eight Nibbles

In this case, there are 8 nibbles constant and 8 nibbles take all possible values. The table (5) illustrates how the integral attack works in each round.

Table 5 Integral attack on eight nibbles for HISEC

| No. | Nibble <br> Position | Round that the attack can <br> reach |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 0 to 7 | 3 |
| 2. | 1 to 8 | 3 |
| 3. | 2 to 9 | 3 |
| 4. | 3 to 10 | 3 |
| 5. | 4 to 11 | 3 |
| 6. | 5 to 12 | 3 |
| 7. | 6 to 13 | 3 |
| 8. | 7 to 14 | 8 to 15 |
| 9. |  |  |

From the table (5), the distinguisher round is three and from this round we start to calculate the complexity of the attack. After that, we will use the same method in the previous section 1 . The last round that the attacker can reach is 4 with complexity $2^{55}$ to recover 32 bits of key.

The table (6) shows the results of integral attack for the HISEC algorithm and others existing algorithms.

Table 6 Result of integral attack for HISEC and other algorithms

| Algorithms | Maximum <br> round |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lblock [18] | 22 |
| TWINE [10] | 22 |
| PRESENT <br> [17] | 9 |
| KLEIN [8] | 7 |
| HISEC | 4 |

From table (6), we can conclude that the integral attack can reach round four for HISEC which the least round when we compared with other existing algorithms. This means that HISEC is more
secure than other algorithms in perspective of integral cryptanalysis.

### 3.3 Boomerang Attack

The first step to mount this attack, we need to know the number of active S-boxes in each round. The second step is use the following equation (1) to calculate the probability of distinguisher of this attack. The equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} \cdot q^{2}=\left(\left(\left(2^{-2}\right)^{N A S}\right)^{2} \times\left(\left(\left(2^{-2}\right)\right)^{N A S}\right)^{2}\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $p^{2} . q^{2}$ is the probability of distinguisher and $N A S$ is the number of active S-boxes. When the probability of distinguisher is less than the plaintext size base, we can say the attack can't go forward [18]. The following table (7) shows the number of active S-box for the first three rounds of HISEC algorithm.

Table 7: Number of active S-box of HISEC for three rounds

| No. | Round | Active S-box |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 1 | 1 |
| 2. | 2 | 3 |
| 3. | 3 | 9 |

Regarding to the HISEC algorithm and depending on table (7), this attack can reach round 5 with maximal probability $2^{-48}$. The following points will explain that:

- In round 3 there are 9 active S-boxes and in round 2 there are 3 active S -boxes.
- To find the probability, we need to apply the equation (1).
- The final probability is $\left(\left(\left(2^{-2}\right)^{9}\right)^{2}\right) \times\left(\left(\left(2^{-2}\right)^{3}\right)^{2}\right)=$ $2^{-36} \times 2^{-12}=2^{-48}$.
- This attack can reach 5 rounds only with probability $2^{-48}$.
The HISEC have 15 rounds which mean it is resistant to the boomerang attack.Moreover, we calculated the distinguisher probability of boomerang for PRESENT [6], Lblock [9], KLEIN [8] and TWINE [5] as following:
- PRESENT: The boomerang attack can reach round 7 with probability $2^{-56}$.
- Lblock : The boomerang attack can reach round 11 with probability $2^{-60}$.
- TWINE: The boomerang attack can reach round 11 with probability2 ${ }^{-60}$.
- KLIEN: The boomerang attack can reach round 4 with probability $2^{-60}$.
The table (8) shows the results of boomerang attack for the HISEC algorithm and others algorithms.

Table 8: Maximum round of boomerang attack for HISEC and other existing algorithms

| No. | Algorithms | Maximum round |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Probability |  |  |  |
| 1. | KLEIN | 4 | $2^{-60}$ |
| 2. | HISEC | 5 | $2^{-48}$ |
| 3. | PRESENT | 7 | $2^{-56}$ |
| 4. | Lblock | 11 | $2^{-56}$ |
| 5. | TWINE | 11 | $2^{-56}$ |

From table (8), the HISEC algorithm is more secure than other algorithms in the term of boomerang attack except KLIEN algorithm. The boomerang attack on KLIEN can reach to round 4 which is close to round 5 of HISEC.

## 4. COST DISCUSSION

The second important factor is the cost. According to [8] [9] [20], we can calculate the cost of HISEC algorithm. The details of calculating the cost of HISEC algorithm as follows:

- The cost of saving 1bits is 6 GE. In HISEC algorithm, we have 64bits for plaintext and 80bits for key. The total cost for plaintext and key as follows:

$$
\text { Plaintext }=64 * 6=384 \mathrm{GE} .
$$

Key $=80 * 6=480$ GE

- The cost for each S-box is approximately 22GE. In the proposed algorithm, we have 16 S -boxes. The total cost of four S-boxes is $16 * 22=352 \mathrm{GE}$.
- The cost of 32 bit XOR is 87 GE approximately. In HISEC, there are four 32 bits XOR. The total cost for XOR is $4 * 87$ $=384 \mathrm{GE}$.
- There is 50 GE as additional cost.

The total cost for the encryption part of HISEC algorithm is:
Plaintext 384 + Key $480+16$ S-boxes $352+4$-32bits XOR $384+$ additional cost $50=1650 \mathrm{GE}$. The cost of key update is:

1S-box $22+$ addition 8 bit $2.76 * 8=22+22.08=44.08$ GE. The total cost of whole HISEC algorithm is $1650+44.08=1694.08$ GE. The table (9) shows the comparison between the cost of HISEC algorithm and others algorithms.

Table 9 Cost for HISEC and other algorithms

| Algorithm | Plaintext | Key | S-box | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lblock [9] | 64 | 80 | 8 | 1320 GE |
| TWINE [10] | 64 | 80 | 8 | 1503 GE |
| PRESENT <br> $[6]$ | 64 | 80 | 16 | 1570 GE |


| HISEC | 64 | 80 | 16 | 1694.08 G <br> E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KLIEN [8] | 64 | 80 | 16 | 2097 GE |

From table (9), we can conclude that the cost of HISEC algorithm is reasonable and isn't the highest cost. Also, there are two algorithms used 8 S-boxes while HISEC used 16 S-boxes.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed new lightweight block cipher algorithm (HISEC). Also, we presented the analysis of HISEC against differential, integral and boomerang attacks. The analysis showed that HISEC is more secure than other algorithms considered in this paper in the terms of differential and integral attacks. Regarding to the boomerang attack, the HISEC is better than other algorithms except KLEIN which the result is close to HISEC. Moreover, we calculated the cost of HISEC in GE and we compared it with others lightweight algorithms. The comparison showed that the cost of HISEC is reasonable which means the cost of HISEC between the least and highest costs of other algorithms.
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